WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

&

The Hon'ble Smt. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member (J) The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No MA-102 of 2018 (OA - 1034 of 2017)

Serial No. and	Chanchal Kundu –Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others. Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order. 1	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<u>1</u> 03.07.2018	For the Applicant : Mr. S. Samanta, Mr. P.K. Mondal, Advocates.	
	For the Respondents : Mr. S.K. Mondal, Advocate.	
	The instant M.A. has been filed praying for	
	following relief:	
	"a) DIRECTION do issue quashing and/or	
	setting aside the Memo dated 03.08.2017	
	being Annexure "A-9" hereto and the	
	charge sheet dated 11.07.2001 being	
	Annexure "A-2" hereto as well as the order	
	of suspension dated 08.08.1997 being	
	Annexure "A-1" hereto and thereupon	
	directing the respondent authorities to	
	reinstate the applicant in service with all	
	consequential benefits with payment of	
	salaries and allowances in accordance with	
	rules along with all arrears as if there had	
	never been any departmental proceeding	
	against the applicant and further to	
	command them to act and proceed strictly	
	in accordance with law;	

Form No.	••••

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Chanchal Kundu

Case No. MA-102 of 2018 (OA – 1034 of 2017)			
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date	
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary	
1		3	
	b) INJUNCTION do issue upon the		
	respondent authorities restraining them		
	from acting in any manner or any further		
	manner on the basis of the Memo dated		
	03.08.2017 being Annexure "A-9" hereto		
	and the charge sheet dated 11.07.2001 being		
	Annexure "A-2" hereto as well as the order		
	of suspension dated 08.08.1997 being		
	Annexure "A-1" hereto, and thereupon to		
	allow the applicant to resume duties		
	forthwith;		
	c) DIRECTION in the nature of certiorari		
	do issue upon the respondent authorities		
	directing them to produce and / or cause to		
	be produced the entire records of the case		
	and thereupon to pass necessary orders for		
	rendering conscionable justice;		
	d) Costs and costs incidental hereto;		
	e) And / or to pass such other or further		
	order or orders as to your Lordships may		
	seem fit and proper;"		
	According to the counsel for the applicant	,	
	applicant was implicated in criminal case being	5	
L			

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors

Chanchal Kundu

Case No. MA-102 of 2018 (OA – 1034 of 2017)

Case No. MA-102 of 2018 (OA – 1034 of 2017)		
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessary
1		3
	Bidhannagar North Police Station Case No.180/1997	
	u/s 467/468/471/420/120B of I.P.C. and was	
	detained in the custody for the period exceeding 48	
	hours. It was alleged that he joined the service on the	
	basis of some fraudulent documents and as he was	
	under custody more than 48 hours, he was put under	
	deemed suspension vide order dated 08.08.1997,	
	which is continuing till today. Thereafter, he was	
	served with charge sheet dated 11.07.2001 on the self-	
	same cause of action, against which he preferred one	
	O.A. No. 1089/2001, wherein one interim order was	
	granted on 08.08.2001 by way of staying the	
	departmental proceedings till next date and the said	
	interim order was extended on subsequent dates and	
	was modified on 25.02.2104 directing inter alia	
	"There is an interim order regarding stay of	
	the departmental proceeding. Now Mr. Sil	
	submits that let the departmental proceeding	
	be allowed to continue, but final order would	
	not be passed till the disposal of the	
	application.	
	In view of the submissions advanced by	
	ld. Advocates of both sides, the interim order	
	so passed is slightly modified to the effect that	

	Chanchal Kundu
Form No.	•••

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.	MA-102 of 2018 ((OA - 1034 of 2017)
----------	------------------	-----------------------

Case No. MA-102 of 2018 (OA – 1034 of 2017)			
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature	
Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessary 3	
	the departmental proceeding may continue,		
	but final order could not be passed without the		
	leave of the court."		
	Being aggrieved with, the applicant approached		
	before the Hon'ble High Court in COST No. 10/2004		
	which was disposed of vide order dated 27.09.2004		
	with following direction:		
	"In response to the submissions made by the		
	learned counsel for the state-authority and		
	having regard to the nature and gravity of the		
	case the Tribunal is requested to dispose of the		
	case as expeditiously as possible"		
	Thereafter, in the light of the High Court's order		
	this Tribunal on 18.04.2005 had passed the following		
	order:		
	"In such situation, hearing the parties before		
	us the only order that could be passed by this		
	Tribunal at this stage to stay the departmental		
	proceeding till conclusion of the criminal trial		
	of the appropriate criminal court. Liberty be		
	given to both the parties to mention for its		
	inclusion before this Tribunal depending on		

	Chanchal Kundu
Form No.	

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. MA-102 of 2018 (OA – 1034 of 2017)			
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date	
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary	
1		3	
	the situation itself."		
	Thereafter, TR No. 49/2006 arising out of G.R.		
	No. 1478/2005 was disposed of on 10.08.2006		
	withholding inter alia:		
	"In the Ratio of R.K. Mishra –Versus – State		
	of Bihar (06) C. Cr. L. R. (S.C.) 552 it was		
	held pure sanction is necessary to enuntiate		
	the criminal proceeding. The Hon'ble court		
	putting embargo to entertain the proceeding		
	further held "a court therefore is precluded		
	from entertaining the complaint of taking		
	notice of it or exercising the jurisdiction, if it is		
	in respect of a public servant, who is accd. of		
	an offence alleged to have been committed		
	during the discharge of his official duty. "		
	To sum up all the proposition of law		
	settled by the Hon'ble Apex Court of India I		
	am constrained to hold that the accd. should be		
	discharged as there is no prospect or further to		
	entertain this case for further period.		
	Accordingly the accds. are discharged and		
	freed from bail bond. The case stands		
	dismissed. Surety are discharged."		
L	1	1	

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Chanchal Kundu

Case No. MA-102 of 2018 (OA – 1034 of 2017)

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	Thereafter, as per the applicant, he made	
	representation before the authority for withdrawing of	
	his suspension and finalising of his disciplinary	
	proceedings vide letter dated 10.02.2006 and lastly on	
	11.05.2017 (Annexure A/7). However, subsequently	
	the respondents issued one Memo dated 03.08.2017	
	whereby they had appointed enquiry authority. Being	
	aggrieved with, the applicant had filed the instant OA	
	in No. 1034/2017 mainly on the ground of delay and	
	laches. As per the counsel for the applicant since filing	
	of the instant OA, none appeared for the respondents.	
	However, MA application has been filed praying for	
	staying for challenging the second show cause notice	
	and also staying for further action from passing any	
	final order as per the enquiry report.	
	The counsel for the respondents has submitted	
	•	
	that it was not possible for the department to know	
	about the fate of the criminal case. Therefore, it was	
	the duty of the applicant to inform them about the	
	outcome of the criminal case. According to the counsel	
	for the respondent, he came to know about the charges	

	Chanchal Kundu
Form No.	

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. MA-102 of 2018 (OA – 1034 of 2017
--

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar	
1	about his criminal case in the year 2017 only.	3	
	Therefore, he has prayed for some time to file		
	reply and he has also opposed for passing of any interim order in this regard.		
	The counsel for the applicant has prayed for		
	interim protection since he is in the apprehension that		
	the authority may impose punishment during the		
	pendency of this O.A. The counsel for the applicant has one judgement passed by the Hon'ble High Court		
	in CPAN 1224/2003 (WPST No. 612/2003) wherein it		
	has been held:		
	"There is ample authority for the proposition		
	that in a situation when the respondent on		
	getting notice from the petitioner, that an		
	injunction petition will be moved before a		
	Court, hurries up things in an effort to		
	circumvent the impending Court proceedings		
	or rushes to overreach the Court by		
	anticipating its order with a view to stealing a		
	march over the opponent, the Court can, in a		
	appropriate case, by issuing an injunction		
	order in a mandatory form, nullify the steps		

Form No.

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Chanchal Kundu

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessar
	hurriedly taken by the respondents after the	
	notice was received."	
	The coursel for the continent has further	
	The counsel for the applicant has further	
	vehemently submitted that he has challenged the	
	appointment of enquiry authority, who has been	
	appointed after a lapse of long time, before this	
	Tribunal in the instant OA. Though the respondents	
	never appear but they have hurriedly proceeded with	
	the disciplinary proceedings by way of issuance	
	second show cause notice indicating the punishment	
	of dismissal from service which has been served upon	
	him on 12.06.2018. Therefore, the respondent may be	
	granted time to file reply but they should be stopped	
	from passing any final order during pendency of the	
	OA.	
	Heard the parties and perused the case, it is noted	
	that as per the applicant he was discharged from the	
	criminal case in the year 2006 and intimated the	
	department in the year 2006 onwards. Respondents are	
	directed to file reply both in MA and OA by four	
	weeks, rejoinder, if any, two weeks thereafter.	
	,	

	Chanchal Kundu
Form No.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. MA-102 of 2018 (OA – 1034 of 2017) Order of the Tribunal with signature Office action with date Serial No. and and dated signature Date of order. 2 of parties when necessary 1 However, as the applicant has challenged the disciplinary proceedings itself therefore the respondents are directed not take further action in this regard till the disposal of O.A. Accordingly, the M.A. is **disposed of**. Let the matter be listed on 14.08.2018. P. RAMESH KUMAR **URMITA DATTA (SEN)** MEMBER (A) **MEMBER (J)** A.K.P.